## Self-Monitoring Blood Glucose Test Systems for Over-the-Counter Use

## **Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff**

Document issued on October 11, 2016.

The draft of this document was issued on January 7, 2014.

For questions regarding this document, contact Leslie Landree at <u>leslie.landree@fda.hhs.gov</u>, or at 301-796-6147.



U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Devices and Radiological Health Office of In Vitro Diagnostics and Radiological Health Division of Chemistry and Toxicology Devices

## Preface

## **Public Comment**

You may submit electronic comments and suggestions at any time for Agency consideration to <u>http://www.regulations.gov</u>. Submit written comments to the Division of Dockets Management, Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Room 1061, (HFA-305), Rockville, MD 20852. Identify all comments with the docket number FDA-2013-D-1446. Comments may not be acted upon by the Agency until the document is next revised or updated.

## **Additional Copies**

Additional copies are available from the Internet. You may also send an e-mail request to <u>CDRH-Guidance@fda.hhs.gov</u> to receive a copy of the guidance. Please use the document number 1756 to identify the guidance you are requesting.

## **Table of Contents**

I. I	NTRODUCTION	4
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	SCOPE	3
IV.	REDUCING THE RISK OF BLOODBORNE PATHOGEN TRANSMISSION	4
A. B.	VALIDATED CLEANING AND DISINFECTION PROCEDURES DEMONSTRATION THAT THE DEVICE IS ROBUST TO CLEANING AND DISINFECTION PROCEDURES	
V.	DEVICE DESCRIPTION	7
VI.	PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND CRITERIA FOR SMBGS	8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Data Analyses:</li></ul>	10 11 11 15 15 16 18 20 22 23 24 24 25 25 25 26 26
VII.	TEST STRIP LOT RELEASE CRITERIA	27
VIII.	THIRD PARTY TEST STRIPS	
IX.	SOFTWARE	28
X.	LABELING	28
APPE	ENDIX 2. SPECIAL 510(K)S AND SMBGS	

## Self-Monitoring Blood Glucose Test Systems for Over-the-Counter Use

## **Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff**

This guidance represents the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff or Office responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

### **I** I. Introduction

2

This guidance document describes studies and criteria that FDA recommends be used when submitting premarket notifications (510(k)s) for self-monitoring blood glucose test systems (SMBGs) which are for over-the-counter (OTC) home use by lay-users. <sup>1</sup> FDA intends for this document to guide manufacturers in conducting appropriate performance studies and preparing 510(k) submissions for these device types.

8

9 This guidance is not meant to address blood glucose monitoring test systems which are

10 intended for prescription point-of-care use in professional healthcare settings (e.g., hospitals,

11 physician offices, long term care facilities, etc.). FDA is issuing another guidance entitled

12 "Blood Glucose Monitoring Test Systems for Prescription Point-of-Care Use"

13 (http://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceD

- 14 ocuments/UCM380325.pdf ) to address those device types.
- 15

16 For the current edition of the FDA-recognized standard(s) referenced in this document, see

- 17 the FDA Recognized Consensus Standards Database Web site at
- 18 <u>http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfStandards/search.cfm</u>.
- 19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> While the majority of SMBG devices are intended for home use, this also applies to SMBG devices intended for home use that are obtained with a prescription from a healthcare professional.

FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable 20 responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and 21 should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory 22 requirements are cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that 23 something is suggested or recommended, but not required. 24

25

#### П. Background 26

27

Portable blood glucose meters that measure blood glucose values are used by millions of 28 people with diabetes every day as an aid in diabetes self-management. These devices are 29 used by patients in a variety of settings including in their homes, at work, and in schools. 30

31

Historically, the FDA has not recommended different types of information in premarket 32 33 submissions (510(k)s) for blood glucose monitoring systems (BGMSs) intended to be used by healthcare professionals as compared to SMBGs intended for home use by lay-users. 34 However, it has become increasingly clear that these different use settings comprise distinct 35 intended use populations with unique characteristics and different device design 36 specifications, which manufacturers should take into account when designing their devices 37 38 for use in the different intended use populations. Patients in professional healthcare settings can be acutely ill and medically fragile and are more likely than lay-users to present with 39 40 physiological and pathological factors that could interfere with glucose measurements. Further, the term "lay-user" encompasses a group of individuals with wide ranges in age, 41 dexterity, vision, training received on performing testing, and other factors that can be critical 42 in the patient's ability to accurately use the device and interpret test results. Finally, SMBGs 43 and the associated test strips used by lay-users are also more likely to experience varied 44 storage and handling conditions compared to devices used in professional settings. As such, 45 SMBGs should be designed to be more robust and reliable to accommodate actual use 46 47 conditions. 48 In order to distinguish between prescription use blood glucose meters, which are intended for 49 use in point-of-care professional healthcare settings, and those intended for home use for self-50 monitoring by lav-users, the Agency is issuing two separate guidances for (i) BGMSs 51

intended for use in point-of-care professional healthcare settings, and (ii) SMBGs intended 52

for home use for self-monitoring by lay-users. The FDA believes that by making this 53

54 distinction, SMBGs can be better designed to meet the needs of their intended use

- populations, thereby providing greater safety and efficacy. 55
- 56

In recent years, concerns have been raised related to infection control issues involving blood 57

glucose meters and lancing devices. According to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid 58

Services (CMS) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), blood glucose 59

meters and lancing devices can transmit bloodborne pathogens if these devices are 60

contaminated with blood specimens and are shared between users without effective cleaning, 61

62 disinfecting and appropriate infection control measures.<sup>2</sup> Though SMBGs are intended for

63 home use by lay-users, they should also be designed to withstand effective cleaning and

64 disinfection procedures over the life of these devices. These disinfection procedures should

65 be properly validated (see Section IV below) for this type of device and appropriate

66 instructions provided for the user. Validation methods should take into account the way in (7) which the device is used a g, bully users at home (or in other non-professional actions)

which the device is used, e.g., by lay-users at home (or in other non-professional settings).

### 69 III. Scope

70

This guidance document is limited to SMBGs, which are regulated under 21 CFR 862.1345,
Glucose Test System. The product code NBW applies to SMBGs.

73

74 This document is **not** meant to address the following types of devices:

- Blood glucose monitoring test systems intended for use in prescription point-of-care
   in professional healthcare settings (e.g., hospitals, physician offices, long term care
   facilities, etc.).
- Devices used to screen and diagnose diabetes (such as clinical chemistry analyzers).
- Continuous glucose sensors, implanted or external (e.g., continuous glucose monitoring systems (CGMs) or sensors within catheters).
- Non-invasive glucose measurement devices, (i.e., devices that do not require removal of a blood sample from a fingertip or other anatomical site).
- Devices for measurement of blood glucose in neonates.
- 84

The last set of the state of blood gracose in neonates.

The device types addressed in this guidance document typically use capillary whole blood 85 from fingertip or alternative anatomical sites. These device types are not intended for use in 86 healthcare or assisted-use settings such as hospitals, physician offices, or long-term care 87 facilities because they have not been evaluated for use in these professional healthcare 88 89 settings, including for routine assisted testing or as part of glycemic control procedures. Use of these devices on multiple patients may lead to transmission of Human Immunodeficiency 90 Virus (HIV), Hepatitis C Virus (HCV), Hepatitis B Virus (HBV), or other bloodborne 91 92 pathogens.

93

94 While FDA recommends that the information described in this guidance be included in

95 premarket submissions for SMBGs, submissions containing alternative information may be

- sufficient if able to demonstrate substantial equivalence to a legally marketed predicatedevice.
- 98

99 We recommend that you contact the Division of Chemistry and Toxicology Devices in the

100 Office of In Vitro Diagnostics and Radiological Health if you have questions regarding

101 alternate intended uses of your SMBG.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See information at <u>http://www.cdc.gov/injectionsafety/blood-glucose-monitoring.html</u>.

102 103

# IV. Reducing the Risk of Bloodborne Pathogen Transmission

106

116

120

121

Since SMBGs use blood specimens for glucose measurement, their design and instructions 107 for use are very important factors in reducing the risk of bloodborne pathogen transmission 108 during use. According to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) and the 109 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), blood glucose meters, as well as lancing 110 devices, can transmit bloodborne pathogens, such as viral hepatitis, if these devices are 111 contaminated with blood specimens and are shared between users without effective cleaning 112 disinfecting, and appropriate infection control measures. To minimize the risk of bloodborne 113 pathogen transmission with single patient use SMBGs, you should address the following in 114 your device's design and labeling: 115

- All SMBGs should be intended for single patient use. The intended use should
   clearly state that the SMBG is intended for home use by lay-users and should only be
   used on a single user.
  - Meters should be designed such that all external materials can be cleaned (removal of organic soil) and disinfected (microbicidal process).
- All external surfaces of the meter, including seams and the test strip port, should be designed for both ease of use and ease of cleaning and disinfection.
- You should develop an effective disinfection method that can be easily employed by lay-users at home. You should provide the validated cleaning and disinfecting procedures for your SMBG in your 510(k) submission as well as in the labeling.
   Cleaning and disinfection are different processes and need separate validation procedures and specifications. See Sections IV.A and B. below for details on the recommended cleaning and disinfecting validation studies.
- You should validate the efficacy of any disinfectant you recommend for use with your device, as described below. We recommend you consult the Environmental
   Protection Agency's (EPA) list of disinfectants that are registered for use against infectious bacteria and viruses<sup>3</sup> when choosing disinfectants to validate for use with your device.
- You should clearly warn users that lancing devices are for single-patient use only and
   should NEVER be shared.
- Labeling concerning safe device use can reduce the risk of user error; therefore,
   instructions for cleaning and disinfection should be clear and detailed. The various
   test system components should be named in such a way that they are recognized as
   belonging to the same system or family of products, and to distinguish them from
   similar devices intended for multiple-patient use (e.g., ABC blood glucose test

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Selected EPA-registered Disinfectants <u>http://www.epa.gov/oppad001/chemregindex.htm</u>

system, ABC blood glucose meter, ABC blood glucose test strips, etc.). See Section 142 X, Labeling below for detailed labeling recommendations. 143

144

- Validation of cleaning and disinfection procedures involves both validation that the cleaning 145 and disinfection products are effective against the primary viruses of concern (i.e., HIV, 146 Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C) and validation that the cleaning and disinfection procedures do not 147 deteriorate the device or alter device performance. FDA's recommendations for such 148
- validation are outlined in the following sub-sections. 149
- 150

#### A. Validated cleaning and disinfection procedures 151

152

164

You should select cleaning and disinfection products that do not result in physical 153 deterioration of the device overall, or any device component including the housing, 154 touch pad, or buttons. You should make note of any physical indicators of deterioration 155 during your validation study and provide this information in your 510(k) submission. 156 The disinfectant product you choose should be effective against HIV, Hepatitis C, and 157 Hepatitis B viruses. Of these viruses, Hepatitis B is the most difficult to kill and prior 158 outbreak episodes associated with blood glucose meters have been due to transmission 159 of Hepatitis B viruses. Therefore, disinfection efficacy studies should be performed to 160 demonstrate effectiveness of the chosen disinfectant against Hepatitis B virus. Please 161 note that 70% ethanol solutions are not effective against viral bloodborne pathogens, 162 and the use of 10% bleach solutions may lead to physical degradation of your device. 163

You should demonstrate that your disinfection procedure is effective against Hepatitis B 165 virus by performing disinfection efficacy studies to show that your procedure is effective 166 with the external meter materials (e.g., case, display, buttons, etc.). Studies have 167 demonstrated that viruses can remain infective for different time periods, depending on 168 the surface. Viral survival may increase or decrease with the number of microbes present 169 on a surface. Increasing amounts of microbes can protect viruses from disinfection and 170 damaging effects may also result from microbial proteases and fungal enzymes. Factors 171 172 that influence survival on surfaces include fomite properties, initial viral titer, virus strain, temperature, humidity and suspending media. The simplest disinfection method would 173 be the use of towelettes pre-saturated with a selected disinfectant. Disinfection with a 174 towelette will reduce the risk of liquid getting into the meter, thereby minimizing the 175 chance of your disinfection procedure affecting meter function. However, you should 176 choose a disinfectant that is effective against Hepatitis B Virus and is compatible with 177 your specific device. If you intend to claim that your disinfection procedure is effective 178 against other pathogens you should consider submitting a pre-submission request to 179 discuss this with the Agency prior to conducting your testing. For information about the 180 181 pre-submission process, see FDA's guidance entitled "Requests for Feedback on Medical Device Submissions: The Pre-Submission Program and Meetings with Food and Drug 182 Administration Staff 183

(http://www.fda.gov/downloads/medicaldevices/deviceregulationandguidance/guidanced 184

185 186		<u>ocuments/ucm311176.pdf</u> ). In addition, you should choose a disinfection method that uses products that would be readily available to the home user.
187		
188		
189		We recommend you refer to the following standards:
190		• ASTM standard ASTM E1053-11, Standard Test Method for Efficacy of
191		Virucidal Agents Intended for Inanimate Environmental Surfaces
192		• ASTM standard ASTM E2362 -09, Standard Practice for Evaluation of Pre-
193		saturated or Impregnated Towelettes for Hard Surface Disinfection.
194		
195	<b>B</b> .	Demonstration that the device is robust to cleaning and disinfection
196 197		procedures
198		You should demonstrate through bench studies that your SMBG is robust to cleaning and
199		disinfection procedures after multiple cleaning and disinfection cycles. You should
200		include in your 510(k) submission the study design and results demonstrating that the
201		analytical performance of the SMBG is not impacted by the cleaning and disinfection
202		procedures.
203		
204		You should address the following in designing your study:
205		
206		• You should choose worst case scenarios with regards to cleaning and disinfection
207		frequency and end user environment to determine the number of cleaning and
208		disinfection cycles that should be tested. For example, the number of times you clean
209		and disinfect the meter should be representative of the cleaning and disinfection that
210		the meter will be exposed to during its use life (typically 3-5 years) and may be
211		greater than the number of cleaning and disinfection cycles recommended in the user
212		instructions. A cleaning step should precede the disinfection step for each cleaning
213		and disinfection cycle.
214		• The disinfection contact time used in the robustness study should be identical to the
215		contact time used in the disinfection efficacy testing and described in the cleaning and
216		disinfection instructions in the labeling.
217		• We recommend using the same disinfectant product for both cleaning and
218		disinfection. The effects of multiple products on the efficacy of the disinfectant
219		products are not well understood.
220		• You should demonstrate that the test strip port and all other openings that are
221		susceptible to blood contamination and could either directly or indirectly be contacted
222		by the user are able to withstand your recommended cleaning and disinfection
223		procedures. You should ensure that you test parts of the meter that are particularly
224		susceptible to blood contamination, such as the test strip port and any material seams.
225		It is important to be able to clean and disinfect all parts of your meter to reduce the
226		risk of bloodborne pathogen transmission.

When you evaluate your device after the cleaning and disinfection phase, you should 227 • ensure that the procedure does not cloud or deface the display of the meter and does 228 229 not corrode or erode the plastic housing or buttons. You should note all physical indicators of deterioration throughout your study and you should include these results 230 in your 510(k) submission. You should evaluate the accuracy of the meter using 231 blood samples compared to results obtained by a comparator method (please refer to 232 Section VI below for the definition of comparator method) to ensure that accuracy is 233 not affected by repeated cleaning and disinfection. You should also evaluate the 234 functionality of your meter features (as appropriate), for example, touch screen 235 function, USB port function, speaking functions, etc., to ensure they are not affected 236 by repeated cleaning and disinfection. 237

• You should include infection control in your risk analysis and incorporate your validated cleaning and disinfecting procedures into your risk assessment.

240

- You should include a description of the protocols and acceptance criteria for all studies in
   your 510(k) submission.
- 243

### 244 V. Device Description

245

You should provide a general description of the SMBG in your 510(k) submission. Typically,
much of this information should also be included in the device's User Manual; however,
some of the information is not appropriate for the intended lay-user (e.g., highly technical
explanations) and should be included in the 510(k) submission only. You should provide the
following in your 510(k) submission.

251

265

252 General device description:

- Description of physical components of the system (including diagrams where
   appropriate).
- Manufacturer's performance specifications.
- Description and explanation of the test principle, including chemical reactions.
- Description of the format of results, including units of measurement and whether results are reported in whole blood or plasma equivalents.<sup>4</sup>
- Description of the composition and levels of control material that can be used with your system.
- User maintenance needs (e.g., batteries).
- Features of the device, such as data transmission capabilities or features designed to enhance robustness and ease of use.
  - Features designed to minimize the risk of bloodborne pathogen transmission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that SMBGs intended for use in the U.S. should report results in mg/dL and in plasma equivalents.

266	
267	
268	
269	
270	Description of features controlled by the software, which should describe the following:
271	
272	• Displays and user messages: This includes how the SMBG determines and displays
273	the glucose concentration, messages or displays that appear while a user is taking a
274	measurement, and features such as how a user can retrieve past results from storage in
275	the device.
276	
277	• User prompts: You should describe prompts that the SMBG provides to the user,
278	expected user responses, and timing issues (e.g., how quickly does the user need to
279	respond, what happens if they respond after the allowed time). Examples of user
280	prompts include messages to the user to insert the test strip into the meter, add blood
281	sample to the test strip, calibrate the meter, or store a result, etc.
282	
283	• Error messages and alerts: This includes any error messages or alerts that the SMBG
284	displays. You should describe how the system responds to errors in user action, user
285	inaction, or system status. Suggested examples of error messages or alerts include
286	when a strip is inserted incorrectly or removed prematurely, too small a sample is
287	applied to the test strip, damaged, incorrect or deteriorated strips are used, or when
288	there is a low battery or excessively high ambient temperature. You should also
289	include the methods by which the SMBG detects and alerts the user when glucose
290	levels are outside of the linear range of the system. You should describe at what point
291	each message is triggered and describe any self-diagnostic routines that the system
292	performs.
293	
294	It is important that you identify the expected responses by the user to error messages or alerts.
295	This includes whether and how the user should input information or press certain buttons to
296	correctly set up the meter or to respond to an error message or alert.
297	

### 298 VI. Performance Evaluation and Criteria for SMBGs

299

Subsections A-F below indicate the types of device performance information that you should 300 include in a 510(k) submission for a SMBG. Although many manufacturers design their 301 SMBG validation studies based on the International Standards Organizations document 302 15197: "In vitro diagnostic test systems—Requirements for blood glucose monitoring 303 systems for self-testing in managing diabetes mellitus," FDA believes that the criteria set 304 forth in the ISO 15197 standard are not sufficient to adequately protect lay-users using 305 SMBGs; therefore, FDA recommends performing studies to support 510(k) clearance of a 306 SMBG according to the recommendations below. 307 308

309 In this guidance, the term "comparator method" refers to a laboratory-**based glucose** 

310 measurement method that has been well-validated for precision and accuracy and that is

traceable to a higher order, e.g., an internationally recognized reference material and/or

method. The traceability chain should include as few stages as possible to reduce bias.

313 FDA's current thinking on the issues that should be addressed and the recommended study

- designs and device performance evaluations are discussed below in Subsections A-F.
- 315

### 316 A. Precision Evaluation Study

You should evaluate both within-run precision and intermediate precision for your SMBG
 and include these evaluations in your 510(k) submission. The following outlines FDA's
 current thinking on appropriate study design and analyses to evaluate within-run precision
 and intermediate precision for SMBGs.

321

322 Within-Run Precision Evaluation:

Within-run precision studies are bench studies designed to evaluate imprecision under conditions of repeated measurement of the same sample with different meters and multiple test strip lots. In order to assess imprecision of the SMBG across the claimed measuring range, you should evaluate samples containing glucose concentration within each of the five intervals provided in Table 1 below:

328

### **Table 1. Glucose Concentrations for Precision Evaluation**

Interval	Glucose Concentration
	Range (mg/dL)
1	30-50
2	51-110
3	111-150
4	151-250
5	251-400

330

You should determine within-run precision using venous whole blood samples. Altered 331 venous whole blood samples such as those that are spiked, diluted, or allowed to 332 glycolyze in order to obtain the appropriate glucose concentrations are acceptable in order 333 to facilitate coverage of the entire claimed glucose measuring range. However, you 334 should clearly identify all altered samples (spiked, diluted, or glycolyzed) in all submitted 335 data. A minimum of 500 test strips from at least 10 vials and 3 manufacturing lots should 336 be used in this study. For each sample concentration, a minimum of 10 meters should be 337 used, with at least 10 measurements taken by each meter (i.e., at least 100 measurements 338 per concentration). Test strips should be taken from the same vial and/or package for each 339 meter. 340

341

We recommend you present the results as the mean value of all measurements per meter for each glucose concentration range with the corresponding standard deviation (SD) and

percent coefficient of variation (CV). In addition, for each glucose concentration range in

Table 1, you should also provide the mean value, standard deviation (with 95%

- confidence intervals) and percent CV for data combined over all meters. You should
   describe the statistical procedures used in the analysis.
- You should provide the results based on all data; if any outlier samples were excluded from any of your statistical analyses, you should fully describe the method of outlier identification, identify the excluded samples, and provide the results of your root cause investigations into the outlier samples.
- 354 *Intermediate Precision Evaluation:*
- Intermediate precision measurement studies are bench studies designed to evaluate imprecision under simulated normal use conditions, for example, measurement over multiple days using multiple reagent system lots. These studies may be performed with prepared control solutions rather than whole blood samples.
- 359

353

- The total number of meters and operators in these studies is at the discretion of the 360 sponsor; however, a minimum of 10 meters should be used for each glucose 361 concentration. Intermediate precision should be evaluated over a minimum of 10 days, 362 taking at least 1 measurement per meter per day of a sample from each glucose 363 concentration interval listed in Table 1. This should produce a minimum of 10 364 measurements per meter for each glucose concentration and 100 total measurements per 365 glucose concentration. You should use a minimum of 500 test strips from a minimum of 366 10 vials or packages that cover a minimum of 3 manufacturing lots. These test strips 367 should be taken from the same vial and/or package for each meter. 368
- 369

For each glucose concentration in Table 1, you should present data for each test strip lot 370 and also for pooled lots including the mean value of the measurements for each meter 371 with the corresponding standard deviation (SD) and percent coefficient of variation (CV). 372 You should also present the mean value, standard deviation (with 95% confidence 373 intervals) and percent CV for data combined over all meters. You should describe the 374 statistical procedures you use. You should provide results based on all data; if any outlier 375 samples were excluded from any of your statistical analyses, you should fully describe the 376 method of outlier identification, identify the excluded samples, and provide the results of 377 378 your root cause investigations into the outliers. 379

### 380 **B.** Linearity Evaluation Study

You should evaluate the linearity of your device across the entire claimed measuring 381 range. We recommend that studies include an evaluation of at least 11 evenly spaced 382 concentrations tested and analyzed according to "Evaluation of the Linearity of 383 Quantitative Measurement Procedures: A Statistical Approach", CLSI document EP6-A. 384 385 Linearity studies should be performed using venous whole blood samples. Altered venous whole blood samples, such as those that are spiked, diluted, or glycolyzed are 386 acceptable in order to facilitate coverage of the entire claimed measuring range. You 387 should clearly identify the number of altered samples (spiked, diluted, or glycolyzed) 388 within the 510(k) submission. 389

390

You should submit a detailed description of the study design, target concentrations, a list
 of all data collected in this study, summary of the results and conclusions drawn from the
 study, and a description of the statistical analysis used.

- 394
- 395

### 396 C. Method Comparison/User Evaluation

397 398

404

### 1. General Study Design:

We recommend that you design a single evaluation to assess both system accuracy in the hands of the intended users as well as other aspects to support lay-use, such as a labeling assessment and usability. This type of design will more accurately reflect the device performance in the hands of the intended user, thereby providing a better estimate for total accuracy of your SMBG.

FDA recognizes that most study evaluations performed for 510(k) submissions occur in 405 idealized conditions, thereby potentially overestimating the total accuracy of the SMBG, 406 even when performed in the hands of the intended user. It is important to design your 407 study to most accurately evaluate how the device will perform in the hands of the 408 intended use population. Therefore, the study should be conducted under conditions that 409 reflect the expected use of the device by the intended use population (e.g., temperature, 410 humidity, altitude, etc.), but does not need to be conducted across the entire range of 411 environmental conditions (environmental conditions are validated separately in Flex 412 Studies discussed in Section VI.E below). You should fully describe the conditions of 413 your study in your 510(k) submission. 414

415

You should include at least 350 different subjects in your user evaluation. More than one 416 comparator measurement may be taken and averaged for each sample in order to allow a 417 better estimate of the true glucose value of that sample. However, no measurements 418 should be excluded from the data analysis. If you are planning to include claims that your 419 device can be used at alternative anatomical sites (e.g., forearm, palm, etc.), you should 420 421 test samples using your device from 350 subjects for each alternative anatomical site for which you are seeking clearance and evaluate the results relative to samples measured 422 with the comparator method. 423

424

425 For each claimed anatomical site, the samples should adequately span the claimed measuring range of the SMBG. Though it may be difficult to obtain samples at the 426 extreme ends of the measuring range, the study should contain at least 10 unaltered 427 samples with blood glucose concentrations < 80 mg/dL, and at least 10 unaltered samples 428 between 250 mg/dL glucose and the upper limit of the claimed measuring range of the 429 device. It may be necessary to enroll more than 350 patients for each anatomical site 430 (fingertip, forearm, palm, etc.) in order to obtain at least 10 unaltered samples < 80 mg/dL431 and at least 10 unaltered samples between 250mg/dL and the upper limit of the claimed 432 measuring range of the device. Data from all subjects in the study should be submitted in 433

434 your 510(k) (even if more than 350 samples are collected), and no subjects should be
 435 excluded from the data analysis.

The subjects you enroll in the method comparison/user study should accurately reflect the
intended use population of the SMBG. The study group should be comprised of both
naïve and non-naïve SMBG users. At least 10% of the study participants should be naïve
to SMBGs and may include non-diabetic subjects. You should describe the inclusion and
exclusion criteria for enrolling the study participants, as well as the demographic
characteristics of the subjects that participated in the study.

Prior to testing, study subjects should be given the draft device labeling (instructions for 444 use, user manual, etc.) that is representative of the labeling that will be provided to the 445 user with the marketed device. If major revisions are made to the labeling after the user 446 evaluation has concluded, an additional user study may be indicated if there is no other 447 448 method available to validate that the changes made do not affect user performance. For purposes of the study, the instructions for use should be written in English only; 449 translations into other languages should not be provided to study participants. Prior to 450 the study, you should perform a readability assessment (in terms of grade level) of the 451 user manual, test strip insert, and control solution insert. For a product intended for 452 home use by lay-users, the reading level should be at an 8<sup>th</sup> grade level or less. We 453 recommend using the Flesch-Kincaid, SMOG, or equivalent computer program to 454 assess the readability grade level of the labeling. You should describe the assessment 455 and results in your 510(k) submission. 456

457

436

443

The study subjects should obtain their own fingertip capillary (or alternate anatomical 458 site(s)) sample and perform a blood glucose test using only the draft device labeling as 459 instructions. No other training or prompting should be provided to the user, and they 460 should not receive assistance from a study technician or healthcare provider to obtain the 461 test result. Study subjects should be sequestered in such a way that they cannot observe 462 or be influenced by the testing technique of other study participants or technicians. Once 463 the study participant has obtained their own result using the SMBG, the technician should 464 then obtain an additional capillary sample for testing using the comparator method. Since 465 the intended user population of SMBGs is the lay-user, it is not necessary for the 466 technician to obtain capillary results on the SMBG for comparison to the comparator 467 value. 468

469

In the study, you should include a minimum of 10 test strip vials or packages that cover a
minimum of 3 test strip lots. All test strips used in the study should have undergone
typical shipping and handling conditions from the site of manufacture to a U.S. user prior
to being used in the study. You should describe these shipping and handling conditions
in your 510(k) submission.

475

476 Hematocrit values should be determined and recorded for each of the study participants.

- 477 You should present individual hematocrit values in the 510(k) submission along with the
- 478 meter results.

479 Blood glucose test results are used by people with diabetes to make critical decisions 480 about their treatment; therefore, it is important that the results are accurate so that 481 nutritional and drug dosing errors are better avoided. Your studies should demonstrate 482 that your SMBG is sufficient for this purpose by showing that 95% of all SMBG results 483 in this study are within +/-15% of the comparator results across the entire claimed 484 measuring range of the device and that 99% of all SMBG results are within +/- 20% of 485 the comparator results across the entire claimed measuring range of the device. You 486 should include all results in the 510(k) submission. Though we expect that with the 487 technologies available, SMBG devices will be able to meet this criteria, there may be 488 instances where meters may be determined to be substantially equivalent even when 489 performance does not meet these criteria because, for example, other features of the meter 490 or its setting of use provide benefits that compensate for different performance For all 491 SMBG test results that are >20% relative to the comparator method, you should provide a 492 clinical justification for why the errors occurred and describe why the potential for that 493 error does not affect user safety when extrapolated to the intended use setting (e.g., when 494 billions of tests are performed). We will review any submitted justification to determine 495 whether the data suggest that patients may be put at risk, or whether the justification and 496 any proposed mitigation are adequate. 497

FDA understands that some SMBGs may not be able to measure reliably within 15% of 499 the comparator method at very low glucose concentrations. If this is the case, you should 500 raise the lower end of the claimed measuring range to the concentration where your 501 502 device is sufficiently accurate according to the above described criteria. To meet the clinical needs of the user population. SMBGs should minimally be able to measure blood 503 glucose accurately between 50 mg/dL and 400 mg/dL, or a clinical justification should be 504 provided for alternate measuring ranges. A SMBG should identify and provide an error 505 code in situations where the measured glucose value falls outside of the device's stated 506 measuring range. For example, meter XYZ has a measuring range that can detect glucose 507 concentrations down to 50 mg/dL; therefore, blood samples with glucose concentrations 508 below 50 mg/dL should provide an appropriate error code (e.g., "LOW - Less than 50 509 mg/dL"). 510

511

498

Method comparison and user performance studies for a SMBG should include multiple 512 blood glucose meters being used amongst the 350 lay-user study participants. Individual 513 lancing devices should be used for each subject and meters should be cleaned and 514 disinfected using validated instructions during the course of this study. You should 515 provide procedures to mitigate the risk of potentially transmitting disease between 516 healthcare providers and subjects during the study (for example, use of disposable gloves 517 or other physical barriers), including details on how often and when gloves worn by the 518 trained health professionals should be changed between subjects. Refer to Section IV 519 above (Reducing the Risk of Bloodborne Pathogen Transmission in Diabetes Care) for 520 additional information regarding the validation of cleaning and disinfecting of SMBGs. 521 You should describe these aspects of the study in your 510(k) submission. 522

523

524	
525	You should also describe the following in your 510(k) submission:
526	
527	• Study setting including the size, type, and location of each site and a justification
528	of how the selected study conditions simulate intended use conditions. Study sites
529	should be representative of where SMBGs are used in the U.S. and you should
530	include an explanation of why you believe each site is representative of where
531	SMBGs are used.
532	• Criteria used to select study subjects, including inclusion and exclusion criteria.
533	Patient demographics (age range, education level, native language, laboratory or
534	healthcare work experience, disease state) and whether they are a naïve SMBG
535	user or not.
536	• Details of procedures performed by lay-users and study technicians.
537 538	• Instructions provided to users in the study. (Note: All instructions should be provided to users in English only.)
539	• Type of sample collected (anatomical collection site(s)).
540	• Number of test strip lots, number of test strip vials, and number of meters used in
541	the study.
542	• Description of the shipping and handling conditions of the test strips prior to use
543	in the study.
544	• A user questionnaire should be provided for the study participants to fill out after
545	completing the study. A copy of the blank questionnaire and the analysis of the
546	results should be provided in the 510(k) submission.
547	
548	Accuracy at Extreme Glucose Values
549	Because the user study described above using real patient samples may not provide a
550	robust evaluation of SMBG performance in the extreme upper and lower ends of the
551	claimed measuring range, you should perform additional studies using blood samples
552	altered to achieve glucose concentrations of less than 80 mg/dL and greater than 250 mg/dL. These semples about d minis unaltered patient semples as clearly as possible.
553 554	mg/dL. These samples should mimic unaltered patient samples as closely as possible. This additional extreme glucose value study should be performed separately from the
554 555	user study (see Section VI.C) described above and may be performed in a laboratory
556	setting.
550	setting.
558	Capillary whole blood samples should be used for these studies; a professional may
559	need to collect the capillary blood to ensure the sample size is sufficient. You should
560	include a minimum of 50 prepared samples containing glucose concentrations below
561	80 mg/dL and 50 samples greater than 250 mg/dL. These samples should evenly
562	cover the lower and upper limits of the claimed measuring range. Samples may be
563	altered by spiking or allowing the samples to glycolyze in order to obtain the
564	appropriate glucose concentrations. Samples should be measured on both the SMBG
565	and the comparator method. You should analyze these data separately from the user

566 567

568

578

evaluation data but using the same methods described below for the user evaluation studies. FDA will apply the same review criteria to both studies.

569 2. Data Analyses:

570 Data exclusion and outliers:

You should present all data in the 510(k) submission, including cases in which the meter
displays an error code, a 'High' or 'Low' message, or no result. All outliers (e.g., data
points that do not conform to the minimum accuracy criteria) should also be included.
You should investigate all outlier results and describe the results of these investigations,
providing explanations for the occurrence of outliers when possible. To help inform your
investigations into outlier results, you should collect information regarding patient
medications, hematocrit measurements and disease states during your study.

579 *Analysis of results:* 

You should present the difference between individual study subject results and results of 580 the comparator method (or mean of the comparator measurement, if multiple replicates 581 are measured on the comparator method) by plotting the data on an X-Y graph. The plot 582 should include the regression line and line of identity, as well as the 99% confidence 583 regions for the regression prediction. Your summary of results should include the slope 584 and v-intercept, calculated using a suitable analysis procedure (e.g., Linear Regression, 585 Deming Regression), and the estimate of the deviation (standard error). Bland-Altman 586 analysis may also be presented. You should describe all statistical methods used and 587 clearly identify and describe any outliers in the analysis. 588

589590 *Tabular data presentation:* 

591 You should present the results of regression analysis in the following tabular format for 592 each sample matrix. In Table 2 below, X= the number of samples within the specified 593 difference from the comparator method, and Y= total number of samples.

## Table 2. Summary of data within specified mg/dL of the comparator method for glucose concentrations across the entire range:

-	Within +/- 10%	Within +/- 15%	Within +/- 20%
X/Y (%)	X/Y (%)	X/Y (%)	X/Y (%)

597

594

### 598 **D.** Interference Evaluation

599

You should evaluate the effect of potentially interfering endogenous and exogenous 600 substances and conditions such as icterus, lipemia, and varying hematocrit levels, as well 601 as the effect of common medications on your SMBG's performance. Conditions that are 602 known to interfere with glucose monitoring test systems, such as ketoacidosis, should be 603 included in the labeling as limitations. If you would like the labeling to not include these 604 limitations or if you would like to remove these conditions from the labeling, you should 605 provide interference testing demonstrating that these conditions do not interfere with your 606 device. 607

608 609 1. Endogenous/Exogenous Substances 610 Study design: 611 You should perform interference testing using samples containing glucose concentrations 612 across the range of the device. Specifically, testing should be performed in samples with 613 target glucose values of approximately 50 - 70 mg/dL, 110-130 mg/dL, and 225-270 614 mg/dL to evaluate clinically relevant decision points. 615 616 You should evaluate each potentially interfering substance at clinically relevant 617 concentrations, and should test all substances at the highest concentration that could 618 potentially be observed in a whole blood sample; if significant interference is observed, 619 you should perform dilutions of the interferent to determine the concentration at which 620 interference begins to occur. For example, if interference is observed with 20 mg/dL 621 acetaminophen, additional testing should be performed with samples containing lower 622 concentrations of acetaminophen, such as 15 mg/dL, 10 mg/dL and 5 mg/dL, to determine 623 the lowest concentration of acetaminophen where interference is first observed. If the 624 results from the additional testing determine that interference is not observed in the 625 sample containing 5 mg/dL acetaminophen and interference is observed in the sample 626 containing 10 mg/dL acetaminophen, then 10 mg/dL is the lowest concentration of 627 acetaminophen where interference begins to occur. 628 629

The substances listed below in Table 3 below represent known or potential interferents
for current blood glucose measurement technologies and comprise the minimal list of
substances that should be tested for interference.

633 634

### Table 3. List of Known or Potential Interferents for SMBGs.

Interferent	Recommended Test
	Concentration
Acetaminophen	20 mg/dL
Ascorbic acid	3 mg/dL
Conjugated	50 mg/dL
Bilirubin	
Unconjugated	40 mg/dL
Bilirubin	
Cholesterol	500 mg/dL
Creatinine	10 mg/dL
Dopamine	20 mg/dL
EDTA <sup>*</sup>	200 mg/dL
Galactose	15mg/dL
Gentisic acid	1000 mg/dL
Reduced	92 mg/dL
Glutathione	
Hemoglobin	20 g/dL
Heparin <sup>*</sup>	500 IU/dL

	50 / II
Ibuprofen	50 mg/dL
Icodextrin	1094.4 mg/dL
L-Dopa	0.5 mg/dL
Maltose	10,000 mg/dL
Methyldopa	1000 mg/dL
Salicylic acid	60 mg/dL
Sodium	414 mg/dL
Tolbutamide	100 mg/dL
Tolazamide	40 mg/dL
Triglycerides	1500 mg/dL
Uric acid	24mg/dL
Xylose	200 mg/dL
Sugar Alcohols <sup>**</sup>	0.09 mg/dL

<sup>\*</sup>The inclusion of EDTA and Heparin in this table refers to their use as therapeutic substances and not as anticoagulants for sample preparation.

\*\*All common sugar alcohols, including but not necessarily limited to, mannitol, sorbitol, xylitol, lactitol, isomalt, maltitol should be independently tested.

638 639

635

636

637

In addition to the list of potential interferents provided in Table 3, you should conduct an
interference risk analysis and carry out bench studies to evaluate interference from
additional drugs commonly used in your intended use population.

643

You should provide a reliable estimate of the interference predicted for each potential 644 interferent. To do this, we recommend the following method of measuring and 645 calculating interference. First, blood samples should be generated at each target glucose 646 concentration described above. Each glucose sample should be tested in replicates with 647 the comparator method (we suggest at least 4 replicates in order to reduce standard error) 648 to establish the glucose concentration in the sample. The glucose samples should then be 649 split into a test sample to which a specific amount of potential interferent is added and a 650 control sample containing solvent/vehicle in lieu of the potential interfering substance. 651 Both control samples and test samples should be measured in replicates on the SMBG. 652 At least three test strip lots should be used for this evaluation. Each of the control and test 653 samples should be tested on your SMBG in replicates of 30 across the three lots (10 654 replicates per lot of test strips for a total of 30 replicates per sample). The mean of 655 replicates should be calculated for each control and test sample. The relative bias (mg/dL) 656 and percent bias should be calculated using the results of the control sample relative to 657 test sample for each concentration of potential interferent. These results should be 658 submitted with 95% confidence intervals as part of your 510(k) submission. 659

660

For SMBGs, the degree of acceptable interference may vary by substance tested and the
intended patient population of your device. Therefore, you should report in your 510(k)
submission the interference testing data as well as the expected imprecision of the system
at that glucose concentration. If interferences are observed, you should propose
appropriate labeling to address any observed interferences; the labeling language
appropriate for the observed interference will be discussed during the review of the
510(k) submission.

668	
669	As new drugs are developed that could potentially interfere with your device, or new
670	interfering substances are identified for other SMBGs, you should evaluate these new
671	drugs or substances for potential interference with your device. For example, if a new
672	drug intended to treat cardiac complications in diabetic patients is approved, you should
673	conduct a careful evaluation to determine whether the new drug interferes with your
674	device. You should report to FDA if significant new interferences are observed with your
675	device or with any cleared glucose monitoring devices that are on the market. New
676	drugs/potential interferents should also be evaluated when new or significantly modified
677	technology is introduced.

- 678
- 679 Data Analysis:

You should provide raw data sets as well as a summary table for all interference results.
Please note that the summary tables should be presented separately for each test strip lot
and for all lots pooled for each glucose level tested. Table 4 below provides a sample
format of a summary table.

684

### 685Table 4. Recommended Summary Table Format:

686 *Test Strip Lot* #(*s*)

Interferent	Mean Glucose Value (Comparator)	Interferent Concentration (mg/dL)	Control Sample Mean	Test Sample Mean	Bias (mg/dL)	% Bias	Confidence Interval around % Bias
	60 mg/dL	20 mg/dL					
Acetaminophen	120 mg/dL	20 mg/dL					
	250 mg/dL	20 mg/dL					

688

In your 510(k) submission you should include a detailed description of the study design,
 all data collected in this study, the summary tables indicated above, and a description of
 the conclusions drawn from the study.

692 693

### 694 **2.** *Hematocrit*

695 *Study Design:* 

Because a reasonably sized user evaluation study may not include the full range of 696 hematocrit values expected in the intended use population, you should perform a separate 697 study to determine how much analytical error is contributed by varying hematocrit levels. 698 This should constitute a bench study designed to evaluate the effect of hematocrit on the 699 performance of your SMBG to assess whether the potential for errors affects patient 700 safety in the intended use population across your claimed hematocrit range. The observed 701 hematocrit levels may be very broad in the intended use population for this type of 702 device; the majority of intended users may reasonably be expected to have hematocrit 703 levels between 20% and 60%. Therefore, we recommend 20-60% as the claimed 704 hematocrit range for this type of device. If your device is subject to significant 705

interference from hematocrit within that range, you should include limitation statements 706 in your labeling cautioning against use when certain physiological conditions are present 707 or suspected (e.g., anemia, etc.). Because lay-users generally have no way to adequately 708 determine their hematocrit status, SMBGs should be able to adequately measure glucose 709 across the range of 30-55% hematocrit (which includes the greatest proportion of users). 710 If your SMBG cannot detect glucose across this range, it is possible that your device may 711 present new technological characteristics from the predicate that raise different questions 712 of safety and effectiveness and may not be determined to be substantially equivalent. 713

- 714 You should evaluate hematocrit interference by measuring blood samples containing 715 various glucose concentrations. The samples should be prepared to contain designated 716 levels of hematocrit that span the claimed hematocrit range for the device. Blood samples 717 may be altered by spiking or allowing them to glycolyze to obtain desired glucose 718 719 concentrations. Specific percentages of hematocrit may be achieved for each sample by manipulating the plasma to packed cell ratio following centrifugation. Hematocrit levels 720 tested should span the claimed range in 5% intervals. Testing across the hematocrit range 721 in 5% intervals allows for a more accurate assessment of bias from hematocrit 722 interference than using broader intervals. For example, if your claimed hematocrit range 723 is from 20-60%, you should test samples at 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, and 60% 724 hematocrit. The samples should also span the claimed measuring range for blood 725 glucose. Samples should include 5 different blood glucose concentrations evenly spread 726 and targeted to the following ranges: 30 - 50, 51 - 110, 111 - 150, 151 - 250, and 251 - 250727 400 mg/dL. 728
- Each sample should be tested on the comparator method in multiple replicates (e.g., we
  recommend a minimum of 4 replicates). A mean of the comparator measurements should
  give greater confidence in the true glucose concentration of the sample.
- You should test a minimum of 3 test strip lots to evaluate interference from hematocrit.
  Each sample should be tested on your new SMBG in replicates of 30 (10 replicates per lot of test strips, for a total of 30 replicates per sample). Each replicate should be compared to the average comparator value for the sample and a bias and % bias calculated. The percent bias for each replicate should be used to produce an average percent bias for the sample (with 95% confidence intervals).
- 740

729

733

Because hematocrit interference is only one of the variables that can contribute to the 741 overall analytical error of the system, it is important that it represent only a portion of the 742 allowable error for the system. For this reason, bias observed in this study, for glucose 743 concentrations greater than or equal to 75 mg/dL should be less than 8% on average, and 744 no individual value should have a bias of greater than 15% relative to the comparator 745 method. For samples less than 75 mg/dL glucose the absolute bias (mg/dL) should be 746 reported (with 95% confidence intervals) and justified for clinical impact. For all results 747 that are outside of the criteria described above, you should provide a clinical justification 748 for the observed data and describe why the potential for that error due to hematocrit 749 interference does not affect patient safety when extrapolated to the intended use setting. 750

- 751
- 752 Data Analysis:

753 You should provide raw data sets as well as a summary of the hematocrit interference 754 study (see recommended summary format in Table 5 and Table 6 below). Please note

study (see recommended summary format in Table 5 and Table 6 below). Please note
 that the summary tables should be presented separately for each test strip lot and glucose
 level tested.

757

## Table 5: Sample summary format for hematocrit (Hct) results of samples with glucose concentrations <75 mg/dL:</li>

760

Lot #, Glucose Level # (mg/dL)

Mean Glucose	Hct	Mean	Bias	95%	# of	Clinical
Value	(%)	Glucose	(mg/dL)	Confidence	Measurements	Justification
(Comparator)		Value		Intervals	> +/- 10 mg/dL	
		(Meter)		around Bias		

761

## 762Table 6: Sample summary format for hematocrit (Hct) results of samples with763glucose concentrations $\geq$ 75 mg/dL:

764 Lot #, Glucose Level # (mg/dL)

Mean Glucose	Hct	Mean	Bias	% Bias	# of			
Value	(%)	Glucose	(mg/dL)		Measurements			
(Comparator)		Value			> +/- 15% Bias			
		(Meter)						

765

You should include in your 510(k) submission a detailed description of the study design, a
list of all data collected in this study, the summary tables indicated above, and a summary of
the conclusions drawn from the study.

769

### 770 E. Flex Studies

771 Compared to professional healthcare settings, there are typically fewer controls in place in home use settings to mitigate the risk of erroneous results. In addition, users are often 772 untrained and may not know how to identify or address an erroneous result. It is therefore 773 assumed that devices intended for home use by lay-users are designed so the risk of an 774 erroneous result should be far less than with laboratory-based tests. You should therefore 775 776 demonstrate that your SMBG design is robust (e.g., insensitive to environmental and usage variation) and that all known sources of error have been assessed through a detailed 777 risk assessment and are effectively controlled. In general, flex studies should be used to 778 demonstrate robust design while risk management should be used to demonstrate 779 identification and effective control of error sources, although the two are not mutually 780 exclusive. 781

782

783 Most risk control measures should be fail-safe mechanisms or failure alert mechanisms.

Examples of fail-safe mechanisms are lock-out functions to ensure that a SMBG does not

785	provide a result when test conditions are inappropriate, such as when there is a
786	component malfunction or operator error. Other examples are measures within the SMBG
787	to prevent operator error, such as guides or channels that prevent improper strip
788	placement. We recommend that the SMBG design incorporates fail-safe mechanisms
789	whenever it is technically practicable. If fail-safe mechanisms are not technically
790	practicable for some risks, failure alert mechanisms should be used. Failure alert
791	mechanisms notify the operator of any SMBG malfunction or problem. These may
792	include measures such as internal procedural controls or electronic controls. Devices with
793	such mechanisms allow the operator to correct the error, or put the operator on notice that
794	the results will be unreliable due to the error. For example, in cases where the result
795	exceeds the reportable range (e.g., extremely high or low glucose result) and the result is
796	a critical value, the device should give a message such as "high" or "low."
797	
798	Flex studies, or studies that stress the operational boundaries of a SMBG should be used
799	to validate the insensitivity of the test system to performance variation under stress
800	conditions. Where appropriate, flex studies should also be used to verify and/or validate
801	the effectiveness of control measures at operational limits. Flex studies are particularly
802	important for SMBGs as these devices are intended for use by lay-users and undergo a
803	variety of environmental and user-associated conditions that could affect system
804	performance.
805	
806	In order to identify all relevant flex studies for your SMBG, we recommend that you
807	conduct a systematic and comprehensive risk analysis that identifies all potential sources
808	of error, including test system failures and operator errors, and identify which of these
809	errors can lead to a risk of a hazardous situation. You should then identify control
810	measures, including fail-safe mechanisms and failure alert mechanisms that will reduce
811	risks for these sources of error. When the control measures have been implemented, you
812	should (1) verify that each control measure has been properly implemented, and (2) verify
813	and/or validate the effectiveness of each control measure. When appropriate, flex studies
814	should be used to verify and/or validate the effectiveness of these control measures.
815	
816	Below we have identified several flex studies that you should perform and include in the
817	510(k) submission of your SMBG. At the same time, we encourage you to continue to
818	perform risk analyses to determine whether your device includes any unique or new
819	features that should be validated through additional flex studies.
820	
821	If your SMBG does not perform adequately in flex studies, we recommend you either
822	provide a justification, determined by means of thorough risk analysis, as to why adequate
823	performance in that flex study is not required for safe and effective use of the device, or
824	indicate an additional validated control mechanism implemented FDA will review any
825	justifications to determine whether the proposed risk mitigations are adequate to protect
826	patients.
827	
828	In the case of the following flex studies, verification should include performance testing;
829	however, it is acceptable for you to provide documentation indicating that flex studies

830 831 832 833	have been conducted in accordance with an FDA-recognized industry standard in your 510(k) submission. We recommend you include the type of testing performed, the reference standard followed, the acceptance criteria, and whether the SMBG passed testing requirements.
834	
835	The flex studies we recommend performing in this manner are:
836	
837	Mechanical Vibration Testing
838	Shock Testing
839	Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) Testing
840	Electrostatic Discharge/Electromagnetic Interference Testing
841	
842 843	Unless otherwise indicated, we recommend that you clearly identify all flex studies performed on your device in your 510(k) submission. A detailed description of the
84 <i>3</i> 844	following attributes should be included in your 510(k) submission:
845	
846	• Study goal
847	Study protocols
848	• Methods used to apply samples to test strips
849	• Description of sample type and any anticoagulants used
850	• Study results
851	• Description of conclusions made from the study
852	I J
853	We have also identified additional flex studies (described below) that we recommend be
854	performed in order to demonstrate adequate system performance in intended use settings.
855	A list of these recommended flex studies as well as recommended study designs are
856 857	included below. These flex studies should be performed using fresh venous or capillary whole blood samples, not control solutions.
858	whole blood samples, not control solutions.
859	1. <u>Test Strip Stability Testing</u>
860	You should perform studies that assess test strip performance throughout the test strip
861	stability claims, including closed and open vial claims. Three studies should be performed
862	to support test strip stability: 1) closed vial stability (shelf life) should be performed to
863	assess the recommended shelf life and conditions when the vial is stored closed
864 865	throughout the claimed expiration dating, at different combinations of temperature and humidity spanning the recommended storage conditions; 2) open vial stability should be
80 <i>5</i> 866	performed to mimic conditions under which an individual would actually use the strips
867	where the vial is opened and closed throughout its claimed open vial life and stored at
868	different combinations of temperature and humidity spanning the recommended storage
869	conditions; and 3) extended open vial stability that mimics use of test strips from vials
870	that have been left completely open for the duration of the claimed test strip open vial life
871	when stored at different combinations of temperature and humidity spanning the
872	recommended storage conditions. We suggest that you submit only the study protocols for

- these test strip stability assessments, the acceptance criteria, and the conclusions of any 873 studies which have been completed. 874 875 These studies (shelf life, open vial and extended open vial) should be designed to span 876 both the claimed temperature range and humidity range at various time points throughout 877 the duration of the respective claim The time points that are assessed (e.g., 1 month, 3 878 879 months, 2 years) should be specified in the protocol. Combinations of real-time and accelerated stability studies are acceptable. However, if accelerated studies are provided, 880 real-time studies should be ongoing and the protocols and acceptance criteria should be 881 provided for both study types. 882 883 You should perform adequate precision and accuracy evaluations at each identified time 884 point. Examples of such studies are described below. Through these evaluations, you 885 should demonstrate that the precision and accuracy calculated in these studies are within 886 the labeled performance of the SMBG. 887 888 Precision Evaluation: 889 Precision with Control Materials 890 This study should be completed over 5 days and use glucose controls. At least two 891 meters should be included in this study and at least 10 measurements should be taken 892 per glucose control level, per meter. 893 894 Precision with Whole Blood Samples 895 This study should use whole blood samples spanning the claimed measuring range of 896 the SMBG. Samples may be altered by spiking with glucose or allowing the samples 897 to glycolyze in order to evaluate the extreme ends of the system's claimed measuring 898 range. At least two meters should be included in this study and at least 10 899 measurements should be taken per glucose level, per meter. 900 901 Accuracy Evaluation: 902 This study should be performed using whole blood samples that span the claimed 903 measuring range of the SMBG. It is acceptable for samples to be spiked with a known 904 905 concentration of glucose or allowed to glycolyze to achieve the desired concentration in order to evaluate the extreme ends of the system's measuring range. Glucose 906 concentrations spanning the claimed measuring range (e.g., 30-50, 100-150, 200-300, 907 350-500 mg/dL) should be measured with the SMBG and compared to values obtained 908 909 with the comparator method. 910 2. System Operating Conditions Testing 911 You should perform a study to assess the performance of your SMBG when used under 912 various operating temperature and humidity conditions. These studies should be designed 913 to represent actual use conditions experienced by SMBG users. Tested temperature and 914 humidity ranges should not only cover the operating ranges that adequately reflect the 915
  - intended use environment, and that are specified in the device labeling, but should also stress the SMBG by including ranges outside of the claimed operating range. Testing

916

917

- should incorporate the four extreme temperature and humidity combinations (high
  temperature/low humidity, low temperature/high humidity, high temperature/high
  humidity, low temperature/low humidity). Measurements made on whole blood samples
  with your candidate device under various operating temperature and humidity conditions
  should be compared to values obtained with the comparator method.
- 923

Separate testing of test strip and meter shipping and storage conditions is not necessary if
the temperature and humidity studies outlined here use only packaged blood glucose
meters and blood glucose test strips that have undergone appropriate storage conditions
and the longest possible shipping duration (both as specified by the manufacturer).

928

932

You should also include in your 510(k) submission a summary of any identified outliers
that were excluded from statistical analysis, the method of outlier identification and the
results of outlier investigations.

We also encourage manufacturers to consider ways in which temperature and/or humidity
detectors might be incorporated into test strip containers to alert users when strips have
not been handled correctly or stored according to recommended and validated conditions.

936 937

### 3. <u>Altitude Effects</u>

Relative to sea level, high altitude comprises a complex set of environmental differences 938 939 and can induce multiple physiological changes, any or all of which might interfere with vour SMBG's performance. For example, high altitude often involves extremes of 940 temperature and humidity and can result in changes to hematocrit and blood pressure. 941 The intended use environment of SMBGs in the United States includes high altitude 942 conditions and therefore, manufacturers should conduct studies on the effects of altitude 943 944 on their SMBG device or provide a justification for why altitude does not have an effect on the performance of their SMBG. 945

946

947 An altitude effects study should compare results from whole blood samples with your candidate device at the different high altitude conditions relative to the comparator 948 949 method. These studies should also include a pressure change. Studies based on oxygen tension instead of pressure change are not adequate, because oxygen tension is only one 950 component that changes with altitude. Altitude pressure changes can be accomplished by 951 physically increasing altitude (e.g., in an airplane, on a mountain), or by simulating 952 increasing altitudes and atmospheric conditions in a pressurized chamber. Results should 953 support the altitude labeling claim for your device. You should provide your definition 954 for terms, such as "sea level". The definition of sea level should not extend above 500 955 feet. You should test your SMBG at a minimum of 10,000 feet above sea level. 956

- 957
- 958 959

960

4. Error Codes for Samples Outside the Measuring Range

You should perform adequate analyses to demonstrate that your meter provides the
 appropriate error codes when measured glucose concentrations are outside of the
 SMBG's claimed measuring range, and include these results in your 510(k) submission.

964 965

### 5. <u>Short Sample Detection</u>

Blood glucose measurement from short samples (samples of reduced blood volume) can
lead to inaccurate results. To avoid the risk of inaccurate results, SMBGs should be able
to detect that a short blood sample has been applied to the test strip and should not
provide a result to the user. Short sample detection systems should not rely on visual
verification by the user.

971

972 The volume required to classify a test sample as a short sample is dependent upon the SMBG device. In your short sample detection studies you should include blood samples 973 974 with known glucose concentrations in the following three ranges: 50-65 mg/dL, 100-120 mg/dL, and 200-250 mg/dL. You should test blood samples with your candidate SMBG 975 at each of the glucose concentrations listed above. Results obtained from the candidate 976 device should be compared to the comparator method. Blood samples with serially 977 reduced volumes should be measured on the device until an error is either generated by 978 979 the device or the test result falls outside of the device's claimed performance characteristics. In your 510(k) submission you should describe the results from both the 980 candidate device and the comparator method, as well as include the sample volumes 981 tested for each glucose concentration range. 982

983 984

### 6. <u>Sample Perturbation Study</u>

Sample perturbation occurs when a user has applied an appropriate volume of blood to
the test strip for glucose measurement but an event such as wicking of blood away from
the test strip, flicking of the test strip or flicking of the meter occurs during the start of the
measurement and alters the volume of the initial sample application. You should
adequately demonstrate how your SMBG handles sample perturbation through a sample
perturbation study.

991

In a sample perturbation study, a sample should be applied to the test strip and after the
SMBG device has begun to read the sample, but before the measurement is complete, the
test strip should be perturbed. The sample perturbation study should incorporate blood
samples with known glucose concentrations in the following three ranges: 50-65 mg/dL,
100-120 mg/dL, and 200-250 mg/dL. In your 510(k) submission you should describe
your protocol, including your specific method of perturbing the test sample, as well as
meter results compared to the comparator method.

999 1000

### 7. Intermittent Sampling

Intermittent sampling occurs when a short sample is applied to a test strip, a glucose
 measurement begins, and the user adds more sample to the test strip before the glucose
 measurement is complete. You should adequately demonstrate how your SMBG handles
 intermittent sampling by conducting an intermittent sampling study.

1005

The intermittent sampling study should incorporate blood samples with known glucose 1006 concentrations in the following three ranges: 50-65, 100-120, and 200-250 mg/dL. You 1007 should perform intermittent sampling studies that are representative of actual events. For 1008 instance, approximately one half of the sample should be applied to the test strip prior to 1009 the start of sample measurement, then the other half of the sample should be applied to 1010 the strip after a set period of time, such as once the sample starts reading. For systems 1011 that allow a second sample of blood to be added to the test strip without producing an 1012 error message, different time delays throughout the claimed period of second application 1013 1014 should be tested once the sample starts reading, but before the measurement is complete. 1015 You should describe how the device responds to this scenario in your 510(k) submission, 1016 including whether a result is reported, whether this result is accurate (relative to the comparator method) and when an error code is reported. 1017

1018 1019

### 8. <u>Testing with Used Test Strips</u>

You should perform a study to demonstrate how your SMBG device performs when a 1020 used test strip is inserted. We recommend that SMBG devices be designed to 1021 automatically recognize the insertion of used test strips. Insertion of used test strips into a 1022 SMBG should not provide glucose measurement results to the user. If an automatic used 1023 test strip recognition function has been incorporated into your SMBG, you should 1024 perform a flex study to demonstrate the functionality of this recognition system. In your 1025 1026 510(k) submission you should provide the study protocol, acceptance criteria and results of your used test strip study. 1027

1028

### 1029 F. Meter Calibration and Quality Control Materials

The use of external control solutions allow users to periodically check that the SMBG and 1030 test strips are working together properly and that the device is performing correctly. In 1031 1032 order to further promote the use of external control solutions by the user, at least one level 1033 of control material should be included along with each test strip vial, and at least one 1034 additional level of control material should be specified in the labeling as available to the user. We recommend you review FDA's guidance entitled "Guidance for Industry and 1035 FDA Staff - Assayed and Unassayed Quality Control Material" 1036 1037 (http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocument s/ucm079179.htm) and submit the recommended information to support clearance of your 1038 assayed glucose quality control material. 1039 1040

Control solutions provided should not be labeled in a descriptive manner such as "low," "normal," or "high" since that may be misleading to the user; users may confuse a label that says "normal" as meaning that value is a clinically normal value even when the control concentration is not within the normal range that is recommended by that individual user's physician. Therefore, control solutions should be labeled nondescriptively (e.g., numerically- 1, 2, 3).

1048For a description of more points to consider regarding quality control materials, please1049reference FDA's guidance entitled "In Vitro Diagnostic Devices: Guidance for the

1050	Preparation of 510(k) Submissions – Appendix K – Points to Consider for Review of
1051	Calibration and Quality Control Labeling for In Vitro Diagnostic Devices"
1052	(http://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/Guidance
1053	eDocuments/UCM094139.pdf).
1054	
1055	You should describe in your 510(k) submission how the candidate device recognizes and
1056	distinguishes control materials from patient specimens, either automatically or manually
1057	by the user, as well as explain how the system compensates for differences between test
1058	strip lots (i.e. how the meter is calibrated or coded for each test strip lot).
1059	

### 1060 VII. Test Strip Lot Release Criteria

1061

Your test strip lot release criteria should be set to ensure consistent performance of your
 SMBG test strips. You should provide a description of the lot release criteria and a summary
 of the sampling scheme in your 510(k) submission. In addition, you should explain how the
 system compensates for differences between strip lots or strip types.

1066

We recommend that you select a sampling scheme appropriate for the operation of your SMBG device and test each outgoing test strip lot or batch using the precision and accuracy evaluations described below. Your test strip lot release criteria should be designed to ensure that all released lots conform to the labeled SMBG performance *in the hands of the intended user*. Therefore, these criteria should be more stringent than the criteria used to evaluate total error in the performance studies. Estimates of the SMBG's imprecision and average bias may be used to determine appropriate criteria. Examples of such testing are described below.

- 1074 1075 *Precision*
- 1075 *Precision Evaluation:*1076 Precision using Control Materials
- 1077 This study should be completed over 5 days and use glucose controls. At least two 1078 meters should be included in this study and at least 10 measurements should be taken 1079 per control, level per meter.
- 1081
   Precision using Whole Blood Samples

   1082
   This study should include at least 10 measure
- 1082This study should include at least 10 measurements using whole blood samples1083spanning the claimed measuring range of the SMBG. Spiking samples with glucose1084or including samples in which glucose was allowed to glycolyze is acceptable in1085order to evaluate the extreme end of the system's measuring range. At least two1086meters should be included in this study and at least 10 measurements should be1087taken per glucose level, per meter.
- 1088

1080

1089 Accuracy Evaluation:

1090 The accuracy evaluation should be performed using whole blood samples that span the

- 1091 claimed measuring range of the SMBG. It is acceptable for samples to be spiked with a
- 1092 known concentration of glucose, or to include samples in which the glucose was allowed to
- 1093 glycolyze in order to evaluate the extreme ends of the system's measuring range. Glucose

1094 concentrations should be measured using the SMBG and compared to the comparator1095 method.

1096

## 1097 VIII. Third Party Test Strips

1098

1099 Third party test strips refer to test strips manufactured and distributed by a company other than the company that manufactures and distributes the glucose meter. Third party test strip 1100 manufacturers should ensure that they are aware of any design changes to the meter because 1101 1102 such changes could affect compatibility of the test strip with the meter. Because test strips 1103 and meters work as integral systems, third party test strip manufacturers should sufficiently address in their 510(k) submissions how they will mitigate the risk of incorrect results due to 1104 1105 meter design changes. One way to effectively ensure that the third party test strip manufacturer is made aware of any design changes to the meter is by having in place an 1106 1107 agreement between the third party test strip manufacturer and the meter manufacturer. 1108

- 1109 IX. Software
- 1110

For software descriptions of SMBGs, their components, and accessories, we recommend that you review FDA's guidance entitled "Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submissions for Software Contained in Medical Devices"

(http://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceD
 <u>ocuments/ucm089593.pdf</u>). Generally, FDA considers blood glucose meters to be moderate
 level of concern devices because glucose results will be the basis for treatment, including
 determination of insulin dosage by the patient or health care provider. Incorrect glucose
 results or failure of the software to detect an error could result in improper diabetes
 management. Also see Section V above regarding software descriptions in your 510(k)
 submission.

1121

## 1122 X. Labeling

1123

The labeling of a SMBG includes the user manual, the quick start guide (optional), the 1124 package inserts for both test strips and controls, and the box and container labels for the 1125 meter, test strips, and control materials. The package inserts for test strips and controls, and 1126 the user manual should be simple, concise, and easy to understand. Graphics such as line 1127 drawings, illustrations, icons, photographs, tables, and graphs are very useful tools. 1128 Manufacturers should ensure that the same terms are used consistently throughout the 1129 1130 labeling to identify the device and its parts, avoiding synonyms or alternate phrases. Symbols should not be used in the labeling of devices intended for home use by the lay-user. We 1131 recommend that you refer to the following documents for information on important principles 1132 for developing clear and complete home use IVD labeling: 1133 1134

1135	٠	FDA's guidance entitled "Guidance on Medical Device Patient Labeling; Final
1136		Guidance for Industry and FDA"
1137		(http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocu
1138		<u>ments/ucm070782.htm</u> ).
1139	٠	CLSI GP-14: Labeling of Home-Use In Vitro Testing Products; Approved Guideline.
1140	٠	FDA's Device Advice website entitled In Vitro Diagnostic Labeling Requirements
1141		(http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/Overview/Devi
1142		ceLabeling/InVitroDiagnosticDeviceLabelingRequirements/default.htm).
1143		
1144	Techn	ical information required by 21 CFR 809.10(b) should be described so that lay-users
1145		derstand the information or locate the information, if necessary. Detailed technical
1146		ation (e.g., chemical details of test principle or statistical analyses of data) may be
1147		ted in a separate section followed by clarifying statements appropriate for lay-users.
1148	1	
1149	The 51	0(k) submission must include labeling in sufficient detail to satisfy the requirements of
1150		R 807.87(e). Final labeling must also satisfy the requirements of 21 CFR 809.10.
1151		
1152	The fo	llowing items are intended to further assist sponsors in complying with the
1153		ements of 21 CFR 809.10 for test strip and meter labeling.
1154	1	1 0
1155	1.	All device labeling must contain the proprietary and common names of the device. 21
1156		CFR 809.10(a)(1) and 21 CFR 809.10(b)(1). The various test system components
1157		should be named in such a way that they are recognized as belonging to the same
1158		system or family of products (ABC blood glucose test system, ABC blood glucose
1159		meter, ABC blood glucose test strips, etc.) to aid in identification of system
1160		components.
1161	2.	You must include the intended use of the product in your label and labeling
1162		documents. 21 CFR 809.10(a)(2) and 21 CFR809.10(b)(2). The intended use for
1163		SMBGs for home use by lay-users should be similar to the example below:
1164		
1165	3.	The XYZ Blood Glucose Monitoring System is intended for use in the quantitative
1166		measurement of glucose in capillary whole blood from the finger. It is intended for
1167		use by people with diabetes mellitus at home as an aid in monitoring the effectiveness
1168		of a diabetes control program. The XYZ Blood Glucose Monitoring System is
1169		intended to be used by a single person and should not be shared.
1170		
1171	4.	The label and labeling must include warnings appropriate to the hazard presented by
1172		the product. (21 CFR 809.10(a)(4) and 21 CFR 809.10(b)(5)(ii)).
1173		
1174	5.	You should include the following warning <i>prominently</i> on the outer box label and
1175		package insert.
1176		
1177	Th	is device is not intended for use in healthcare or assisted-use settings such as
1178		spitals, physician offices, or long-term care facilities because it has not been

cleared by FDA for use in these settings, including for routine assisted testing or as 1179 part of glycemic control procedures. 1180 1181 Use of this device on multiple patients may lead to transmission of Human 1182 Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), Hepatitis C Virus (HCV), Hepatitis B Virus (HBV), 1183 or other bloodborne pathogens. 1184 1185 1. The labeling must include the chemical, physical, physiological, or biological 1186 1187 principles of the procedure as per 21 CFR 809.10(b)(4). The discussion of these principles should include identification and source of the enzyme and description of 1188 the reaction. Labeling should specify whether results are determined in terms of 1189 whole blood or plasma equivalents. SMBGs intended for use in the U.S. should 1190 report results in terms of plasma equivalents. 1191 1192 1193 2. The label must include a means by which the user may be assured that reagents meet appropriate standards of identity, strength, quality and purity at the time of use as 1194 described in 809.10(a)(6)and 21 CFR 809.10(a)(10). 1195 1196 3. The labeling must provide instructions for specimen collection and preparation. (21 1197 CFR 809.10(b)(7)). Instructions should include a statement to users on the 1198 1199 importance of thoroughly washing with soap and water and drying the skin before taking a sample, because contaminants on the skin may affect results. See also 1200 instructions for cleaning and disinfection below. 1201 1202 4. The labeling must provide a step-by-step outline of recommended procedures (21) 1203 CFR 809.10(b)(8)), and operating instructions for the instrument (21 CFR 1204 809.10(b)(6)(v)). Numbering, rather than bullets, should be used for clarity when 1205 appropriate (e.g., procedural steps, etc.). 1206 1207 5. The labeling must include a statement of limitations of the procedure including 1208 known extrinsic factors or interfering substances affecting results (21 CFR 1209 809.10(b)(10)). You should include testing conditions that may cause clinically 1210 1211 significant errors (due to bias or imprecision) with your SMBG (e.g., specific drugs, oxygen therapy, high altitude). You should indicate the most extreme conditions (e.g., 1212 the highest altitude, highest and lowest temperatures, etc.) at which the device has 1213 been validated based on the results of performance testing. 1214 1215 6. The labeling should clearly indicate to users what display they can expect to see when 1216 their measured glucose is lower or higher than the claimed measuring range of the 1217 meter. For example, meter XYZ has a measuring range that goes down to 50 mg/dL. 1218 All glucose values measured below 50 mg/dL will provide an appropriate message 1219 indicating the results are below the meter range. Meter XYZ's labeling would include 1220 a statement explaining this error code: "When your glucose value is less than 50 1221 mg/dL you will see the following error code 'Less than 50'." 1222 1223

7. The labeling must describe details of calibration and of quality control procedures and 1224 materials (21 CFR 809.10(b)(8)(v) and 21 CFR 809.10(b)(8)(vi)). This is to help 1225 ensure optimal performance of the SMBG. This section should include 1226 recommendations for how and when to perform quality control checks and 1227 instructions for what to do if the control material values are not within the 1228 manufacturer's allowable range. As part of the quality control information in your 1229 1230 labeling, we recommend sponsors advise users that they should periodically review 1231 their technique and compare a result obtained with their meter to a result obtained using a laboratory method or a well-maintained and monitored system used by their 1232 healthcare provider. 1233 1234 8. The labeling must include expected values (21 CFR 809.10(b)(11)). FDA 1235 recommends that the expected values should be those for non-diabetics. FDA does 1236 1237 not recommend including additional ranges adjusted for diabetics because such ranges 1238 are individualized and determined by the clinician. The expected values should be cited from in-house studies or up-to-date reference sources. 1239 1240 9. The labeling must include specific performance characteristics (21 CFR 1241 809.10(b)(12)). Sponsors should briefly describe all studies and summarize results in 1242 the package inserts. FDA recommends that this include performance data summaries 1243 from in-house and user studies. For presentation of accuracy in particular, see the 1244 charts below for an example. Performance should be presented separately for each 1245 anatomical site and matrix. 1246 1247 10. So that lay users have the ability to choose the SMBG that is right for them, it is 1248 important to clearly describe the accuracy of the device in a way that is easy for them 1249 to understand. It is also important for this information to be located in a prominent 1250 1251 place in product labeling so that lay-users can understand the performance of an individual SMBG both prior to purchase and also when they are learning to use the 1252 device they have purchased. Therefore, the outer box labeling, the package insert for 1253 the test strip, and the user manual should all have easy to understand depictions of the 1254 clinical study results. 1255 1256 In the package insert for the test strips and the user manual for the SMBG, 11 1257 accuracy information should be placed prominently within the labeling. We 1258 recommend that this information be included in the section where the labeling 1259 1260 describes how a user will obtain a result. In the test strip package insert, this section should be large and centrally placed so that users understand the performance of the 1261 system using these test strips. We recommend the following types of presentations to 1262 convey the results of your accuracy studies in the device user manual and test strip 1263 package inserts. 1264 1265

### Suggested Representation of Accuracy for Home Use by Lay-Users - Example

Your ABC Meter result may vary slightly from your actual blood glucose value. This may be due to slight differences in technique and the natural variation in the test technology.

The chart below shows the results of a study where 350 typical users used the ABC meter to test their blood glucose level. For example, in this study, the ABC meter gave results within 15% of their true blood glucose level 340 out of 350 times.

Difference range between the true blood glucose level and the ABC meter result.	Within 5 %	Within 10 %	Within 15 %	Within 20%
The percent (and number) of meter results that	57%	94%	97%	100%
match true blood glucose level within x%	(200/350)	(330/350)	(340/350)	(350/350)

1266

Accuracy information should also be included on the SMBG outer box and test strip outer
box labeling as well as in the test strip package inserts and user manual. We recommend that
this outer box label accuracy information refer readers to the package insert and graphically

1270 represent the user study data. An example of this type of presentation is shown below.

1271 Numbers represent the number of meter results that were within the level of accuracy shown,

1272 relative to the laboratory device.

Accurate Results	350 out of 350 (100% of results)
More Accurate Results	262 out of 350 (75% of results)
Most Accurate	175 out of 350 (50% of results)

1276 1277

Accuracy key	Percentages listed are meter result as compared to laboratory result
Accurate Results	Meter result is +/-15% of laboratory result
More Accurate Results	Meter result is +/-10% of laboratory result
Most Accurate Results	Meter result is +/-5% of laboratory result

1278 1279

1200	1	The lebeling must describe the principles of operation for the instrument as well as
1280	1.	
1281		service and maintenance information (21 CFR 809.10(b)(6)). Labeling should include a
1282		list or summary of error messages, descriptions of what those error messages mean, and
1283		appropriate troubleshooting procedures for those error messages.
1284		
1285	2.	You should provide in the labeling a working U.S. toll free telephone number for user
1286		assistance in the labeling, and include hours of operation and U.S. time zone, if
1287		applicable. If user assistance is not provided 24 hours/7 days a week/365 days a year,
1288		sponsors should provide instructions for what measures the user should take when user
1289		assistance is not available.
1290		
1291	3.	The label and labeling must include statements of warning or precautions as appropriate
1292		to the hazard presented by the product (21 CFR 809.10(a)(4) and 21 CFR
1293		809.10(b)(5)(ii)). We recommend that you include instructions to lay-users to contact
1294		their healthcare provider if they obtain results that are not consistent with the way they
1295		feel, and to not change their medication regimen without approval from a healthcare
1296		provider.
1297		
1298		You should clearly and prominently state the important warnings for this device towards
1299		the beginning of the labeling, in a section containing <b>Important Safety Instructions.</b>
1300		Important warnings and safety information should be included on all test system
1301		instructions (User manual, test strip labeling, etc.).
1302		
1303		You should stress the risk of disease transmission when using SMBGs and reference any
1304		relevant public health notifications, standard practice guidelines, or other resources
1305		available to users. At a minimum, the following warnings should be included:
1306		
1307		• The meter and lancing device are for single patient use. Do not share them with
1308		anyone including other family members! Do not use on multiple patients!
1309		• All parts of the kit are considered biohazardous and can potentially transmit
1310		infectious diseases, even after you have performed cleaning and disinfection.
1311		
1312		You should include these references:
1312		
1314		• <i>"FDA Public Health Notification: Use of Fingerstick Devices on More than One</i>
1315		Person Poses Risk for Transmitting Bloodborne Pathogens: Initial Communication"
1316		(2010) http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/AlertsandNotices/ucm224025.htm
1317		(2010) <u>http://www.idu.gov/inculanbevices/Surety/Hertsunarvonces/dom221025.htm</u>
1317		• CDC website on "Infection Prevention during Blood Glucose Monitoring and Insulin
1318		Administration" http://www.cdc.gov/injectionsafety/blood-glucose-monitoring.html
1319		nuministration <u>http://www.cdc.gov/injectionsalety/0100d-grucose-montoring.html</u>
1320		In the section(s) describing how to obtain a blood sample, you should reiterate the risk
1321		of bloodborne pathogen transmission. You should stress that a lancing device is intended
		· ·
1323		only for a single user and should not be shared. You should stress that users should clean

1324		their hands thoroughly with soap and water after handling the meter, lancing device, or
1325		test strips.
1326		
1327		The user manual should contain detailed instructions for how and when users should
1328		perform cleaning and disinfection procedures for the meter based on the validation
1329		studies performed. Specifically the instructions should include the following:
1330		
1331		• An explanation of why the cleaning and disinfection should be performed in language
1332		that is appropriate for the intended user. You should explain the difference between
1333		"cleaning" and "disinfection."
1334		• The recommended frequency a user should clean and disinfect the device. For
1335		example, the meter should be cleaned and disinfected at a minimum of once per week.
1336		An explanation should be provided for how this number relates to the number of
1337		validated cycles over the life of the device. The use life of the device should be
1338		clearly stated.
1339		<ul> <li>A list of the materials needed for cleaning and disinfection should be provided.</li> </ul>
1340		Instructions on how these products can be purchased or prepared need to be clearly
1341		outlined.
1342		<ul> <li>A detailed procedure describing what parts of the device should be cleaned and</li> </ul>
1343		disinfected, the amount of time the cleaner or disinfectant needs to remain on the
1344		meter (contact time), etc. You should include graphics/photographs to assist the user.
1345		<ul> <li>A statement that users should clean hands thoroughly with soap and water after</li> </ul>
1346		handling the meter, lancing device, or test strips.
1347		<ul> <li>A contact telephone number for technical assistance or questions should be</li> </ul>
1348		prominently listed in the cleaning and disinfection section along with a list of signs of
1348		external deterioration and deteriorating performance that the user should look for.
1349		external deterioration and deteriorating performance that the user should look for.
1350	1	If studies have not been presented supporting the use of alternative site testing (AST) for
1351	4.	a SMBG, you should include a prominent warning in the package insert and user manual
1352		against use of the device for AST. Sampling from anatomical sites other than the
1354 1355		fingertip, i.e., forearm, upper arm, thigh, calf, palm, may be indicated for some SMBGs.
1355		Some users may prefer obtaining blood from alternative sampling sites because of less
1357		pain or greater choice in puncture sites. However, studies have shown that during times
1358		of rapidly changing glucose (i.e., after meals, medication, or exercise), the glucose level
1358		in blood from the alternative site may be significantly different from the glucose level in
1360		blood from the fingertip. Additionally, glucose levels may not rise as high or fall as low
1361		as levels in the fingertip. This can result in a delay, or a failure to detect, hypoglycemia
1362		when glucose is measured in alternative sites during non-fasting times.
1363		When alternative compling sites have been validated, and are indicated you should elerify
1364		When alternative sampling sites have been validated, and are indicated, you should clarify that regults from these sites may less behind fingertin samples during periods of glucose
1365		that results from these sites may lag behind fingertip samples during periods of glucose
1366		change, or reduced peripheral circulation (e.g., shock).
1367		

You should include the following limitations relating to AST testing in your package 1368 inserts: 1369 1370 • Alternative site sample results may be different from fingertip sample results when 1371 glucose levels are changing rapidly (e.g., after a meal, after taking insulin, or during 1372 or after exercise). 1373 • Do not rely on test results at an alternative sampling site, but use samples taken from 1374 the fingertip, if any of the following applies: 1375 • you think your blood sugar is low. 1376 you are not aware of symptoms when you become hypoglycemic. 1377 0 the results do not agree with the way you feel. 1378 0 after a meal. 1379 0 after exercise. 1380 0 1381 0 during illness. during times of stress. 1382 0 • Do not use results from alternative site samples to calibrate continuous glucose 1383

- 1384 monitoring systems (CGMS), or for insulin dose calculations.
- 1385

### 1386 Appendix 1. Sources of error to consider for SMBGs

1387

Table 7 below lists sources of error associated with the design, production, and use of
SMBGs. We do not intend for this to be a complete list. You should consider all sources of
error based on your knowledge of your specific device. Documents such as CLSI EP-18A
and ISO 14971 also provide lists of preanalytical, analytical, and post-analytical errors to
consider.

1393

### **Table 7 – Examples of Sources of Error**

1395

Category	Source of error or failure
Operator	<ul> <li>Failure to follow procedure correctly, for example:</li> <li>Sample contamination</li> <li>Incorrect specimen collection (e.g., poor lancing technique and incorrect volume)</li> <li>Application of an insufficient amount of blood to the strip or incorrect application of blood to strip</li> <li>Use of a sample from an alternative site at inappropriate times or from a site not validated by the manufacturer</li> <li>Application of the specimen to the strip more than once (for example, if the user believes not enough specimen was added the first time)</li> <li>Incorrect insertion of strip into meter</li> <li>Inaccurate timing</li> <li>Use of contaminated, outdated, or damaged strips or reagents, including calibrators or quality control materials</li> <li>Failure to understand or respond to meter output.</li> <li>Errors in meter maintenance or cleaning</li> <li>Errors in calibration or failure to calibrate or otherwise adjust the meter or check performance with quality control materials, as directed by labeling</li> <li>Incorrect saving or use of stored data</li> <li>Improper storage or handling of the meter, calibrators, quality control materials or test strips, or maintenance of the meter</li> <li>Inadvertent changes of parameters (such as units of measurement)</li> <li>Failure to contact physician when necessary</li> <li>Use of strips not validated for use on the meter</li> </ul>
Reagent	<ul> <li>Expired strips or reagents</li> <li>Damaged or contaminated strips</li> <li>Failure of strips, calibrators, or quality control materials to perform adequately</li> </ul>

	<ul> <li>Incorrect manufacturing; product fails to conform with specifications</li> <li>Incorrect dimensions of reagent strip</li> <li>Interference with chemical reaction on strip (e.g., reducing substances)</li> <li>Inadequate design of container for strips or other reagents; failure to prevent deterioration; failure of desiccant used to keep strips dry</li> </ul>
Environmental	<ul> <li>DEVICE EFFECTS         <ul> <li>Temperature</li> <li>Humidity</li> <li>Altitude; hyperbaric oxygen therapy conditions</li> <li>Electromagnetic radiation</li> <li>Visible light; sunlight</li> </ul> </li> <li>HUMAN FACTORS         <ul> <li>Lighting, glare off meter surfaces</li> <li>Distractions, visual and auditory</li> <li>Stressful conditions</li> <li>Limited manual dexterity</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Software	<ul> <li>Confusing or obscure user prompts and feedback</li> <li>Incorrect mathematical algorithm</li> <li>Undetected or unrecognized signal errors</li> <li>Timing failure</li> <li>Incorrect storage of test results in memory, including matching result with correct patient or time of test</li> <li>Other software failures</li> </ul>
Hardware	<ul> <li>Electronic failure</li> <li>Physical trauma or vibration</li> <li>Damage to the device from incorrect strip dimensional tolerances (third party manufacturer)</li> <li>Electrostatic discharge</li> <li>Electromagnetic/radiofrequency interference</li> <li>Battery reliability, lifetime, and replacement</li> <li>Component(s) failure</li> <li>Incorrectly manufactured</li> </ul>

System	<ul> <li>Physical trauma or vibration</li> <li>Incorrect calibration/adjustment (between lots of strips)</li> <li>Calibration failure, interference, instability or use beyond the recommended period of stability.</li> <li>Labeling not geared to intended user.</li> <li>Meter or operation complexity not geared to intended user</li> <li>Inadequate training</li> </ul>
Clinical	<ul> <li>Interference from endogenous substances.</li> <li>Severe conditions (e.g., dehydration, hypoxia, hyperglycemic-hyperosmolar state, hypotension or shock, ketoacidosis).</li> <li>Interference from other exogenous substances (e.g., maltose intravenous solutions)</li> </ul>

### Appendix 2. Special 510(k)s and SMBGs

1399

### 1400 What is a special 510(k) and how does it apply to your blood glucose meter submission?

A special 510(k) submission is an alternative to the traditional method of demonstrating

1402 substantial equivalence for certain modifications of a manufacturer's own previously cleared

1403 device that do not affect the intended use or alter the fundamental scientific technology. For 1404 such modifications, the Agency believes that the rigorous design control procedure

- requirements outlined in the Quality System Regulation (QS reg) [See 21 CFR part 820]
- 1406 produce highly reliable results that can form, in addition to the other 510(k) content
- 1407 requirements, a basis for the substantial equivalence determination.
- 1408 As such, under the special 510(k) option, a manufacturer who is intending to modify his/her
- 1409 own legally marketed device will conduct the risk analysis and the necessary verification and 1410 validation activities to demonstrate that the design outputs of the modified device meet the
- design input requirements. Once the manufacturer has ensured the satisfactory completion of
- 1412 this process, a "Special 510(k): Device Modification" may be submitted.
- 1413

### 1414 Eligibility for a Special 510(k)

1415 To determine whether a modified SMBG is eligible to be submitted as a special 510(k), you

- should consult the FDA guidance entitled "The New 510(k) Paradigm Alternate
- 1417 Approaches to Demonstrating Substantial Equivalence in Premarket Notifications Final
- 1418 Guidance"(<u>www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocumen</u>
- 1419 <u>ts/ucm080187.htm</u>). Sponsors should also consult the information on FDA's website entitled
  1420 "How to Prepare a Special 510(k)"
- 1421 (<u>http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/HowtoMarketYourDev</u>
   1422 ice/PremarketSubmissions/PremarketNotification510k/ucm134573.htm).
- 1423

As noted above, a special 510(k) is appropriate where the candidate device is a modification
of a sponsor's own legally marketed device, which would serve as the predicate for the
modified device. This usually means that the candidate device and predicate device are part
of the same device design file. The existence of *similarities* between the predicate device A
and candidate device B does not by itself necessarily mean that device B is a modification of
device A.

1430

1431 FDA believes that to ensure the success of the special 510(k) option, there should be a

common understanding of the types of device modifications that may gain marketing

clearance by this path. As such, it is critical that Industry and Agency staff can easily

- 1434 determine whether a modification is appropriate for submission as a Special 510(k). To
- optimize the chance that a special 510(k) will be accepted for review, sponsors should
- evaluate each modification to ensure that the device modification does not: (1) affect the
- 1437 intended use or (2) alter the fundamental scientific technology of the device.
- 1438

1439	Based on FDA's experience with blood glucose meters, we can offer the following list of
1440	modifications that may or may not be eligible for review as a special 510(k). This list is not
1441	intended to be all-inclusive.
1442	
1443	Modifications that are generally eligible for a Special 510(k):
1444	
1445	<ul> <li>Minor changes in user interface</li> </ul>
1446	• Change in memory capabilities (e.g., adding the ability to store additional results)
1447 1448	• Elimination of strip coding requirements through a restriction of test strip lot release criteria
1449	<ul> <li>Addition of a voice (speaking) feature if the device is not intended for visually impaired users</li> </ul>
1450	impaired users
1451	Madifications that are generally NOT aligible for a Special 510(k).
1452 1453	<u>Modifications that are generally NOT eligible for a Special 510(k):</u>
	• Significant change in the sample volume applied to the glucose test strip
1454	• Significant change in the sample volume applied to the glucose test strip
1455 1456	• Addition of alternative sampling sites (e.g., adding the palm in addition to the fingertip)
1457	• Addition of sample matrices (e.g., adding venous whole blood in addition to capillary
1458	blood)
1459	• Change to the measuring algorithm used to calculate a glucose concentration
1460	• Change in enzyme used in the chemical reaction (e.g. from glucose dehydrogenase to
1461	glucose oxidase)
1462	• Use of a test strip cleared for meter A for use on separately cleared meter B
1463	<ul> <li>Any modification that affects the intended use of the device</li> </ul>
1464	<ul> <li>Any change in fundamental scientific technology</li> </ul>
1465	ing change in fandamental scientific technology
1466	We recommend that you contact the Office of In Vitro Diagnostic Devices and Radiological
1467	Health (OIR) to discuss any specific questions you have regarding your SMBG's eligibility to
1468	be submitted as a special 510(k).
1469	